

APPLICATION NO.

10/706,451

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

13-1450
CONFIRMATION NO.
7996

22846 7590 09 BRIAN ROFFE, ESQ

BRIAN ROFFE, ESQ 11 SUNRISE PLAZA, SUITE 303 VALLEY STREAM, NY 11580-6170

FILING DATE 11/12/2003

NGUYEN, JIMMY H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2629

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

David Howell

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/706,451	HOWELL, DAVID		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jimmy H. Nguyen	2629		

		Jimmy H. Nguyen	2029	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REP	LY FILED 11 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
this plac a R	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔯	The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
·	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THO 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti IE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
have been under 37 C set forth in may reduc	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exiFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later e any eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) DF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filing	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extendice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	to avoid dismissal of th	
	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i	but prior to the data of filing a brio	f will not be entered b	
	oxtimes proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, $oxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further con			ecause
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		JIL Delow),	
	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)[They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
non	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al -allowable claim(s).			-
how The Clai	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prostatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed: m(s) objected to:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ wided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Clai	m(s) rejected: <u>1-28</u> .			
	m(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
bec	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ared because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
	T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:
	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		
			Jimmy H Nguyen	
			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 10/706,451

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to independent claims 1 and 21 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Applicant's argument, see pages 13-14 of the amendment, to the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) to claim 28 in the Office Action dated 03/17/2006, has been considered but it is not persuasive because claim 28 does not define specific functions of an emulator, a digitizer/oscillator emulator, a digital multi-meter emulator, and a counter/timer emulator, as argued by Applicant. Note that the specification is not the measure of invention. Therefore, limitations contained therein can not be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art. See In re Sporek, 55 CCPA 743, 386 F.2d 924, 155 USPQ 687 (1968).